



above all **the floor is yours**



secteur**public**

Facilitator's guide

For unions

**CSN's public sector
consultation**

CSN'S PUBLIC SECTOR CONSULTATION

INTRODUCTION

Your collective agreements will expire on March 31, 2020. As public-sector workers, you are in the best position to identify network issues and reflect on solutions. It is within this context that we want to begin to work with you to prepare for the upcoming public-sector negotiations.

This facilitator's guide is the result of work carried out by the CSN's four public-sector federations, in particular, after the consultation forum that took place in the fall with your union representatives. It is a tool for unions that complements a member survey.

The consultation will end on Sunday, January 13, 2019.

The survey can be found here: <https://secteurpublic.quebec/consultation>.

The purpose of this consultation is to increase means of and forums for exchange in order to help develop bargaining proposals, mobilization plans, and information strategies that are in line with your concerns.

At this step, the point is not to adopt bargaining proposals, but instead to hear from members before developing them.

Above all, the floor is yours!

Once completed to your satisfaction, please send this document by mail to the following address:

Comité de coordination des secteurs public et parapublic
Confédération des syndicats nationaux (CSN)

1601, av. De Lorimier, suite 2700
Montréal (Québec) H2K 4M5

You can also individually complete the survey at:

<https://secteurpublic.quebec/consultation>

Following this link, you will also find various tools to improve your discussions.

CSN's union number ID

Local union name

ATTRACTION AND RETENTION OF LABOUR

Quebec's public sector is currently experiencing the largest turnover in its history. This turnover is occurring in the context of a large decline in population, which has led to labour shortages in numerous private and public sectors. In the education and health and social services networks, as well as in that of government agencies, employers are having

trouble recruiting workers for certain job titles and retaining them in the public sector. In addition to making the public sector less attractive to those who are entering the labour market, the deterioration of working conditions resulting from the massive budget cuts in recent years is driving many current employees to want to leave the public sector.

What possible solutions could fix attraction and retention problems for your job title/profession?

Improving pay?

For several years, the wage gap has widened between all Quebec workers and government employees. It is now often more profitable, for comparable positions, to work outside of the public sector.

Improving schedules and reducing work overload?

The years of austerity under the previous Liberal government wreaked havoc in public networks. Public-sector workers were the first to experience it while trying to maintain public services with fewer and fewer resources.

Attraction and Retention of Labour (continued)

What possible solutions could fix attraction and retention problems for your job title/profession?

Reducing job insecurity?

Job security for public-sector workers was once the envy of all Quebec workers. Today, with the percentage of employees with precarious jobs reaching almost 70% in some sectors, job security is a thing of the past for a large number of workers in the public sector.

Facilitating integration for immigrants?

Each year, thousands of qualified workers immigrate to Quebec. Some among them worked in public-sector jobs in their countries of origin. They have acquired skills and sometimes have foreign academic credentials that are not recognized here.

Wage Demands (continued)

There are many possible principles that can be used to develop a wage demand. Here are some examples:

- **A demand based on the principle of collective increases**, which, by raising salaries in accordance with economic indicators (e.g., the gross domestic product (GDP)), could allow public-sector employees to benefit from a share of economic growth.
- **A demand based on a principle of equity with all Quebec workers** could allow the wages of public-sector employees to catch up with those of employees in other sectors, in particular, the private and municipal sectors. It is important to note that according to data from 2017 from the Institut de la statistique du Québec, overall remuneration for public-sector employees was 8.6% below that of other Quebec employees for all benchmark jobs.
- **A demand based on a principle of equity with the workers of other provinces.**
- **A demand based on the principle of protection of purchasing power**, i.e. wage increases based on inflation.
- **A demand based on the principle of reducing gaps between high-income and low-income employees.**

Would a bargaining proposal centred on any of these principles be interesting for developing wage demands?

Are there other interesting principles to consider?

WHAT FORM OF INCREASES?

Wage increases in the public sector can take various forms:

A fixed increase is consistent with the goal of reducing gaps between the highest- and lowest-income unionized workers in the public sector: the percentage increase decreases the higher the income. As a result, an increase of \$1 per hour corresponds to slightly more than a 5% increase for the lowest-income workers, but less than 2% for the highest-income workers. The salary gap has varied over the years: while the maximum salary was about 4.5 times higher than the minimum in the early 1970s, it was about 2.5 times higher in the 1990s, and has remained relatively stable at that rate since. This wage demand model generally fits into a social justice approach, but it can also lead to division between members of the same union or federation.

One percentage for everyone means that all employees will receive the same percentage increase on April 1st of each year. It is a classic formula that is both simple and provides everyone with a salary increase of an equal percentage. The salary policy that results often applies to other groups of workers who are not directly involved in public-sector bargaining; some examples are workers in government agencies and childcare centres.

A variable percentage based on labour market comparisons is aimed at catching up with jobs outside of the Quebec public sector. The public sector, as a

whole, is behind with regard to the rest of the labour market, but this lag varies based on job title. For example, it is larger among semi-skilled workers than food service employees. Catching up could have a positive impact with regard to attraction and retention, but also risks providing salary increases that vary from one job to another and may reproduce certain labour market inequalities, such as lower salaries for jobs typically held by women.

A variable percentage that favours low-income workers is also aimed at reducing pay gaps between the highest- and lowest-income workers in the public sector. The fixed amount model generates a variable percentage, but other mathematical formulas can also be used, which can determine a freeze or minimum increase percentages for certain jobs.

A percentage or amount that varies based on principles that were mentioned previously (protection of purchasing power, collective increases, equity with other workers, etc.) is also possible. This form of increase is therefore not quantified in advance.

RREGOP

The Government and Public Employees Retirement Plan (RREGOP) covers more than 540,000 active members and more than 230,000 retirees from the public and parapublic sectors, as well as from government agencies. It is a defined-benefit plan, with funding that has been equally shared with the employer since 1982. With a capitalization rate of about 107% as of the most recent actuarial valuation, the RREGOP is in good financial health.

While the RREGOP is subject to the Act Respecting the Government and Public Employees Retirement Plan, amendments to the plan are almost always the subject of agreements negotiated between the parties. In the previous round of bargaining, the parties agreed to form a working group 18 months prior to the expiration

of the collective agreement to examine the state of the pension plan, accounting for its maturity, increased life expectancy, and financial market developments. The analyses carried out by this working committee between rounds of bargaining could potentially provide us with insight with regard to our pension demands.

The consultation forum also allowed us to identify some concerns members have with regard to retirement. Accounting for the impacts that various elements could have on the funding of the RREGOP and on its financial sustainability, and also accounting for certain dimensions that are unique to working in the public sector, such as an increase in the demanding nature of the work, which seems to be becoming widespread...

What elements are most important to you with regard to your pension plan?

Retirement Age?

On July 1, 2019, new eligibility criteria for immediate unreduced pensions will come into effect. Three criteria will then apply, two of which directly relate to retirement age:

- Having reached 35 years of service credited for eligibility to benefits**
- or
- Being at least 61 years old**
- or
- Being at least 60 years old and having reached the “90 factor” (age (at least 60 years old) + years of service credited for eligibility to benefits = 90)**

RREGOP (continued)

What elements are most important to you with regard to your pension plan?

Early Retirement Penalty?

Currently, the rate of reduction if you stop participating in an immediate plan in advance is 4% per year. In the previous round of bargaining, the parties agreed that starting July 1, 2020, the rate will increase from 4% to 6% per year in advance. While the Conseil du trésor wanted to increase the rate to 7.2%, analyses

showed that a rate of reduction of 6% covered the cost to balance the plan, i.e. that with a penalty of 6% per year in advance, active members would not be funding part of the early retirement of retirees.

Contribution Rates?

While the RREGOP is a defined-benefit plan, workers fund their plan equally with the employer, with contribution rates that accumulate and increase in value in members' pension funds. Contribution rates vary based on periodic actuarial valuations of the plan, which means that employees bear a significant share of the financial risks related to the administration of the plan. For example, following

the 2008 financial crisis, contribution rates went from 8.19% to 11.12% between 2008 and 2016. In 2018, the contribution rate is now 10.97%. It is important to note that this percentage applies to the portion of the salary that exceeds \$13,975 (25% of the maximum pensionable earnings (MPE) set by Retraite Québec, which is currently \$55,900).

RREGOP (continued)

What elements are most important to you with regard to your pension plan?

Pension Indexing?

Once you begin receiving your RREGOP pension, it will be indexed on January 1st of each year. The indexation rate for the pension plan varies according to three periods of plan membership:

- For years of service performed prior to July 1, 1982: full indexation of the plan based on the inflation rate used by Retraite Québec;
- For years of service performed between July 1, 1982, and December 31, 1999: partial indexation based on the inflation rate used by Retraite Québec minus 3%; and
- For years of service performed between January 1, 2000, and now: partial indexation based on the inflation rate used by Retraite Québec minus 3%, but at a minimum of 50% of the Retraite Québec inflation rate.

The most recent changes to indexing standards occurred in 2010, when we introduced the possibility of improving pension indexing for the years between July 1, 1982, and December 31, 1999, when there was a sufficient surplus in the pension fund. During the previous round of bargaining, the employer wanted to discuss introducing conditional pension indexing mechanisms, but we refused this option. Improving pension indexing for retirees certainly affects the cost of the plan.
